


# Minutes

## The 56th Stated Presbytery Meeting

Mytle Beach, NC  
July 18, 2017 Tuesday, 6:00 pm



## The Atlantic Korean American Presbytery The Presbyterian Church (U.S.A.)

3211 Paul Dr. Wheaton, MD 20902

(301) 864-9255

[www.akap.org](http://www.akap.org)

## The 56<sup>th</sup> Stated Presbytery Meeting Atlantic Korean American Presbytery

[시간과장소] 59차 정기노회가 사우스 캐롤라이나 주 머들비치 샌던 리조트에서 2017년 7월 18일 오후 7시40분에 시작하다.

[경건회] 노회장 조은상 목사의 인도하에 김춘호 장로(웨잇빌한인장로교회 총대)의 기도가 있는 후에 최병호 목사(베다니 장로교회, 부서기)가 성경말씀 마태복음 13:18-23 절을 봉독한 후 말씀을 전하다.

[환영과 인사]노회장 환영사에 이어 회원간의 인사와 새 총대소개 및 내빈 소개가 있었다. 내빈으로 총회헌법사무실 부서기 최정석 목사를 소개하다.

[회원점명] 부서기 김응배 목사가 회원점명을 하니 목사 23명 장로 6명 과 3 당회 이상(메릴랜드제일장로교회, 베다니 장로교회, 조지아 장로교회, 웨잇빌한인장로교회, 에덴장로교회 등)이 출석하여 정족수가 됨을 보고하니 노회장 개회를 선포하다.

[참석자], 남윤상(사바마), 이원걸(은퇴), 김범수(베다니), 김범수(동산), 윤치현(해거스타운), 이정남(웨잇빌), 김응배(에덴), 배현수(소망), 안현준(메장), 박연익(월밍턴), 조남홍(한미노회), 전은기(리치몬드중앙), 이영호(리치몬드), 강기석(텐버), 김성진(At-Large), 조은상(메릴랜드제일), 허성영(베다니), 박종식(하인스빌), 김대왕(시온), 조진영(조지아), 임낙길(발도스타), 최병호(베다니), 김세준(그린스빌) (이상목사) 김형순(웨잇빌), 권성관(베다니), 마종욱(매릴랜드), 김춘호(웨잇빌), 김창수(메릴랜드제일), 김성용(조지아) 이상 장로

[Excuse] 김정숙, 전진은, 권준, 조은경, 이용일, 임용락, 이대성, 김성원, 박관준, 김창환,이내용, 박종우,  
[결석]김신태, 서보창, 김요셉, 선우주현, 이승환,조명철, 정태은, 손상웅, 조호성, 이영진(한국), 신동환, 김성웅,임기윤, 신정인,

[회순채택] 임시로 받기로 하다.

[전화록 통과]: 제 55차 노회록과 55-1차 임시노회록을 자구수정 후에 채택하다.

[서기보고] 다음과 같은 공문을 접수하여 해당 부서로 하여금 조치하게 하고 발송공문을 보고하다.

접수공문

1. Remedial Case, Session, MPC vs AKAP (06/20/2017) Central KPC of Richmond-Investment & Loan Program (06/13/2017)-Review Loan(06/13/2017)
2. Newport Church Loan-past due issue(06262017)
3. 김범수 목사의 노회장 고발서
4. 김범수-안현준 목사의 제 55-1차 임시노회에 대한 무효소송서류
5. 집행정지 신청에 대한 기각서류
6. Complain of irregularity filed by Rev. Bum Soo Kim and Joh An(05/25/2017)
7. 대회 PJC 의 메릴랜드장로교회가 낸 집행정지 신청서
8. 솔즈베리 한인장로교회 당회원 보충 청원서
9. 그린버니 민족장로교회 가입청원에 대한 보충서류(가입청원서 및 결의사항(03/15/2017)
10. Presbyterian Wordl Mission-(04/20/1017)

11. WCCS-(CAL17-07053) In relation to 1<sup>st</sup> Korean Church of MD (04/03/2017)
12. Quartly Report-Investment & Loan Program Inc(04/11/2017)
13. 중앙장로교회 헌의안-전은기 목사 Assistance program 추천서 (03/24/2017)
14. Dick Liberty from Assistance program (전은기 목사)신청에 따른 질문서(01/05/2017)
15. Dick Liberty for 남윤상 목사 Assistance Approved Letter(04/07/2017)

발송공문

1. Concise Answer to SPJC (대서양한미노회 vs 메릴랜드장로교회)
2. Rebutter upon MPC's Response-on behalf of CoC (0626,2017)
3. 메릴랜드 장로교회 당회에 보내는 이사회 및 행정위원회 3차래
4. 메릴랜드 제일장로교회에 재산등록에 관한 서류 및 주정부 등록서.

회원교회 및 회원교회현황

조직교회

번호	교회명	담임목사	출석여부	총대장로	출석여부
1	리치몬드	이영호		박종우	
2	리치몬드중앙	전은기		이혜경	
3	메릴랜드장로교회	안현준		조동열	
4	메릴랜드제일	조은상		김창수	
5	솔즈베리한인	전진은		김일배	
6	수도	조명철			
7	워싱턴시온	김신태		김희태	
8	워싱턴에덴	김응배		최창규	
9	워싱턴평강	서보창			
10	필라제일	김요셉			
11	웨잇빌	이정남		김춘호	
12	덴버한인중앙(N)	강기석		김순원	

미조직교회 및 Fellowship

번호	교회이름	교역자	출석여부	교인대표	출석여부
1.	가나안교회	Vacancy			
2	워싱턴소망(L)	배현수			
3	솔즈베리사랑(L)	정태은			

4	예루살렘(L)	명돈의
5	월빙턴(N)	박연익
6	코너스톤(N)	이승환
7	해거스타운(N)	윤치현
8	행복한교회(N)	임용락
10.	워싱턴동산교회(N)	김범수
11.	뉴퓏뉴스장로교회	권 준

\*비활동회원

F=fellowship, N=New Church Development, L=Lack of a quorum

## 회원명단

정회원(Validated Member) -조직교회

번호	이름	교회	Church Status	Status
1	전진은	솔즈베리	Organized	Active
2	이정남	웨이트빌	Organized	Active
3	김응배	워싱턴에덴	Organized	Active
4	조은상	메릴랜드제일	Organized	Active
5	강기석	덴버중앙장로교회	Organized	Active
6	서보창	워싱턴평양	Organized	Active
7	이영호	리치몬드	Organized	Active
8	전은기	리치몬드중앙	Organized	Active
9	조명철	수도	Organized	Active
10	김요셉	필라제일	Organized	Active
11	안현준	메릴랜드장로교회	Organized	Active

정회원(At-large)-미조직교회 및 부목사

번호	이름	교회		status
1	배현수	소망교회	Lack of quorum	OGP
2	이대성	예수사랑의 교회	At large	OGP
3	이승환	코너스톤장로교회	At large	OGP
4	이용일	리치몬드 한인	Associate Pastor	Active
5	임용락	행복한교회	At large	OGP
6	윤치현	해거스타운한인교회	Organizing Pastor	OGP
7	정태은	사랑의교회	NCD	OGP
8	김성진(A)	At-Large	In transition	

9	김응배	Bedford 장로교회	<i>At large</i>	<i>OGP</i>
10	박연익	월명턴한인장로교회	<i>Organizing Pastor</i>	<i>Active</i>
11	김범수	워싱턴동산교회	<i>Lack of quorum</i>	<i>Active</i>
12	권준	뉴퓏뉴스한인장로교회	<i>Lack of quorum</i>	<i>Active</i>
13	김성원	워싱턴시온장로교회	<i>Associate Pastor</i>	<i>Active</i>

\*타교단 or beyond jurisdiction

\*OGP=Organizing Pastor-membership needs to be renewal annually.

\* ? Means membership is in question.

정회원(Specialized Ministry)

번호	이름	교회/사역처		
1	김정숙	<i>At-Large</i>		<i>Active</i>
2	김정훈	<i>At-Large</i>		<i>Active</i>
3	김지훈	<i>At-Large</i>		
4	명돈의	예루살렘장로교회		<i>Active</i>
5	박승환	<i>At-Large</i>		<i>Active</i>
6	손상웅	씨드선교회		?
7	조남홍	대서양한미노회		<i>Active</i>
8	조호성	<i>At-Large</i>		<i>Active</i>
9	조은경	<i>At Large</i>		<i>Active</i>
10	이영진	<i>At-Large</i>		<i>Active</i>

은퇴회원(정회원)

1	김성웅	<i>Retired</i>	<i>Honorably Retired</i>	<i>HR</i>
2	조병철	<i>Retired</i>	<i>Retired</i>	<i>RT</i>
3	신동환	<i>Retired</i>	<i>Honorably Retired</i>	<i>HR</i>
4	박관준	<i>Retired</i>	<i>Honorably Retired</i>	<i>HR</i>

남부지역

조직교회

번호	교회명	담임목사	출석여부	총대장로	출석여부
1	베다니장로교회	최병호		최은일	
2	발도스타제일	임낙길			
3	사바나	남윤상			
4	열린장로교회	박종식			
5	에벤에셀	김창환		박제광	
6	조지아	조진영		이화림	

## 미조직교회

번호	교회명	담임목사	출석여부	총대장로	출석여부
1	갈릴리	김세준			
2	라그렌지	이내용			
3	시온장로	김대왕			
4	4 Points	임기윤			

## 정회원

번호	이름	교회	Status
1	최병호	베다니	Active
2	임낙길	발도스타	Active
3	남윤상	사바나	Active
4	박종식	열린장로교회	Active
5	김창환	에벤에셀	Active
6	조진영	조지아	Active
7	김세준	갈릴리	Active
8	이내용	라그렌지	Active
9	김대왕	시온	Active
10	임기윤	4 Points	Active
12	김범수	베다니	Active
13.	Joseph Kang	베다니	Active
14.	신정인	At large	Active

## 은퇴회원(정회원)

1	이원결	Retired	HR

[회계보고] 회계 김형순 장로가 다음과 같이 보고하고 질의 응답후에 보고를 받다.

한미대서양노회 재정보고

7/12/2017

2017년 수입현황(1월-7월5일까지)

Row Labels	Sum of Amount
<b>MI</b>	<b>625</b>
선교비	625
덴버중앙장로교회	625
<b>Misc</b>	<b>66.67</b>
기타	66.67
윌밍톤장로교회	66.67
<b>PC</b>	<b>19675</b>
상회비	19675
뉴포트뉴스한인장로교회	500
덴버중앙장로교회	625
리치몬드중앙장로교회	2000
리치몬드한인장로교회	7000
매릴랜드제일장로교회	2000
워싱턴시온장로교회(약정)	800
해거스타운 한인교회	750
웨잇빌한인장로교회	6000
<b>Ret</b>	<b>3000</b>
은퇴기금	3000
덴버중앙장로교회	312.5
리치몬드중앙장로교회	1262.5
풀스베리 사랑의교회	300
워싱턴시온장로교회(약정)	750
해거스타운 한인교회	375
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>23366.67</b>

2017년 지출현황(1월-7월5일까지)

Row Labels	Sum of Amount
<b>AD(행정비용)</b>	<b>1463.74</b>
Printer ink	66.77
priority mail	22.95
purchased stamps	47
노회 홈페이지 Server사용비(Yearly)	299.88
다켓제작, 문서	522.86
세금 및 good standing certificate비용	205.63
연회비(총회분기별 보고)-drop box	99
은행 fee	179.7
총무활동 사용 수수료	19.95
<b>CO(통신비용)</b>	<b>726.81</b>
Cell phone	726.81
<b>FA(사무실렌트)</b>	<b>1800</b>
에덴장로교회 노회사무실 사용료(6달)	1800
<b>MISC</b>	<b>209.9</b>
총회 헌법담당자 -노회원 간담 식사	150
웨잇빌교회 축하회분	59.9
<b>MT(각종회의 비용)</b>	<b>3706.05</b>
from statement(임시노회경비)	236.95
gas	18.78
KPC 가족수양회 등록비(총무목사 부부)	440
meal	31.59
NKPC 총회 등록비	300
PJC 비용(gas)	61.21
PJC 비용(lodging)	510.27
PJC 비용(meal)	214.24
Wilmington 장로교회 임직식(gas)	75.57
Wilmington 장로교회 임직식(lodging)	68.74
공전위/임원회	46.23
공전위원회	56.58
공전위원회	117.74
공전위원회 모임/임시노회 참석경비	222.94
노회원 간담 식사	97.92
노회장/공전위	42.93
등부노회 출장	58.41
숙소	289.72
식사	36.69
운영위원회	153.46
운영위원회 준비	59.96
임시노회 (gas)	40
임시노회 숙소	85.52
임시노회 숙소(3 rooms)	259.22
임시노회준비(노회록 제작사)	82.03
임시노회준비(노회장 과 협의)	29.33
헌법수의안 연구	70.02
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>7906.5</b>

[운영위원회보고](General Council Meeting Report)-다음과 같이 운영위원회 보고를 사무총장이하다.

## 제 78 차

제 78차 운영위원회가 노회 사무실에서 2017년 3월 28일 모이다. 노회장 환영인사와 더불어 경건회를 갖다. 노회장 개회 기도후에 회원점명을 하니 성수가 되어 회의를 개회하다.

출석 : 박관준, 강기석, 전은기, 김정숙, 윤치현, 김신태, 배현수, 김형순(전화), 조남홍, 김응배, 최동구(전화) 조은상, 박종우(전화), 김춘호(전화), 마종욱

회의록 통과(Minutes Approval)-전화록 낭독 (이미 55 노회에 보고된 사항임)

서기보고(Stated Clerk's Report)-공문 수발 및 발송 상황을 보고하다.

회계보고 (Treasurer's Report) -김형순 장로의 회계보고가 있었다.(유인물보고)

각 위원회보고 및 헌 의안(Reports & Recommendations of Committees)

- a) 후보생위원회: 북부와 남부의 노회 후보생 위원회를 따로 관리하고 있다.
- b) 목회위원회:
  - a. 가나안교회 경과보고가 있었다.
  - b. 솔즈베리교회 당회파송 장로로 최동구 장로를 정하다.
  - c) 다른 위원회는 별 다른 보고 없었다.

운영위원회 안건으로

1. 운영위원회에 추가로 장로공천을 하다.-김창수 장로 (메릴랜드제일), 김순원 장로(덴버 중앙)
2. 공천위원장선출-박연익 목사로 선출하다.
3. 예산안 및 상회비 징수 문제에 대한 논의가 있었다.
4. 총무 사례비명칭 변경-사례라는 말을 법적으로 맞는 말로 바꾸기로 하다. - 임원회에서 총회연금국과 상의하여 차기 운영위원회에서 보고하기로 하다.
5. 행정미비사항을 대비하여 조사위원회/기소위원회 구성-지난번 조사위원들을 다시 조사위원으로 임명하여 이 사건을 종결할 수 있도록 하게하다.
6. 차기 총회(2018년) 장로 총대-2018년 장로총대는 김순원장로, alternative= 김형순 장로
7. 4월 둘째주까지 기금 모금 촉구 편지를 상회비의 50%를 목표로 하여 보내고 6월말까지 모금하고 모결과를 보고 차기 운영위원회에서 대안을 마련하기로 하다.
8. 연합노회 참석건 (9월 27-29 총회사무실)은 차기노회 안건으로 올리기로 하다.

특별 위원회보고 사항 (Special Committees & Commission)

1. 내규수정위원회(53차 노회위임사항)-남부지역 노회와 협의하여 차기 위원회까지 초안을 만들어 발표하기로 하다.



2. SJPC 진행상황 및 경과보고 있은후 CoC 로 조은상, 최병호, 김정숙 세분과 alternative= 윤치현 목사로 정하다.
3. 행정위원회 및 이사회 경과보고- 박관준 목사: 솔직한 모습으로 임하지 않기에 협조가 안되고 있다. 서로 신뢰가 부족하다.
4. 총무 청빙위원회 진행상황-특별한 사항이 없었다.

사무총장보고 및 광고 (Executive Presbyter's Report & Announcement)

### 제 79 차

제 78차 운영위원회가 2017년 7월 13일 오후 7시 워싱턴에덴장로교회(노회사무실) 성가대실에서 모이다. 참석: 박관준(목), 조남홍(목), 조은상(목), 배현수(목), 전은기(목), 김응배(목), 윤치현(목), 박연익(목), 박종우(장), 마종욱(장), 강기석 (목, CC), 김형순(장, CC), 김춘호(장,CC), 최동구(장,CC), 김창수(장 CC),  
Excuse: 김정숙(목), 김신태(목) (15명)

회장 개회선언에 이어 박관준 목사의 개회기도로 회무를 시작하여 부서기 회원 점명을 하니 위와 같이 15 명이 출석하였다. 각부보고에 이어 특별위원회 보고가 있었다. 각부 보고는 해당 부서에서 노회에 보고 되며 운영위원회의 현의안은 다음과 같다.

- 보고사항 및 토의 사항
  - 민족장로교회 가입원서 및 회의록을 접수 했다..
  - 메릴랜드 장로교회 교인 일부의 담임목사 목회관계 해소의 건을 접수했다.
  - 조사위원회 구성은 종전 위원들이 재 임명 되었으므로 마무리를 지어야 한다.
  - 김범수 목사 고소 건에 대한 건은 사법위원회로 이관하고 조사위원회를 구성하기로 하다.
  - 노회를 계속 고소하고 무고히 해치는 사람에 대한 조사와 징계가 필요하다.
  - 9월 연합노회 참석을 노회에서 논의하고 참석자는 노회에서 숙박비를 보조해주기로 하다.
- 현의사항
  - 1) 내규 잠정의 건-새 내규가 제정 될 때까지 2012년 새 헌법 이후의 내규는 당년까지 소급 잠정하여 결정 된 사안들은 그대로 인정하고 차후에 새 내규가 제정 될 때까지 사안에 따라 구체적인 처리 방법이 없을 때와 노회 운영상 필요한 사안들은 헌법의 범위내에서

현재 내규와 2015년 최근의 헌법에 나온 노회와 당회부분의 세부적 규정들을 준용하여 하여 처리한다.(또한 2009-2012판 헌법을 참고한다0 .

- 2) 이제까지의 메릴랜드 장로교회의 재판에 이르게 한 모든 행정전권 위원회를 해산하고 행정전권위원회를 다시 구성하여 제반의 문제들을 처리하도록 권한을 부여한다. 당회권을 회수하여 행정위원회가 당회의 역할을 대신한다. (세부적인 행정위원회 구성 현의안 별지참조)
- 3) 총무 사례비라고 보고 된 사안은 제안 정신에 맞지 않으므로 IRS 규정에 맞는 용어로 대체한다.

기타 행정위원회 구성에 대한 반대 기록 요청: 박종우 장로 (각 위원회 보고사항은 해당 위원회 보고에 포함 되어 있으므로 생략) 11시에 부노회장 박종우 장로의 기도로 폐회마쳤다.

[목회위원회]; 위원장 : 전은기 목사

- 1) 목회위원회가 일시 2017. 7.13 일 에덴장로교회에서 모여 배현수 목사의 기도로 개최하다.  
참석자: 전은기 조은상 배현수 윤치현 마종욱
- 2) 결의및현의사항  
1 마종욱장로를 에덴교회 임시당회원으로 파송하기로 하다  
2 에덴교회 김응배목사 담임목사 청원건은 Terms of call 서류에 교인서명을 받은후 허락하기로 하다
- 3) 조은상목사 기도로 폐회하다
- 4) 운영위원회 결의 사항-목회위원회가 성직자기금 (clergy fund) 에 관한 세부규정을 마련하기로 하다.

[공천위원회 ] 위원장 : 박연익 목사 - 특별한 보고 사항 없음

[후보생위원회 : 위원장 : 김정숙 목사.

- 총회 목사 고시 위원으로 조남홍 목사를 보고 하다. 장로 고시위원은 지원자가 없어서 공석으로 남김.

[이사회] : 이사장, 박관준 목사의 결석으로 사무총장이 대신 보고하다.

2017년도 제 1 차 이사회가 텔리폰 컨퍼런스로 모여 다음과 같은 결정하였습니다. 참석자: 박관준, 김신태, 강기석, 윤치현, 김영미, excuse : 김춘호, 결석: 이해경

[보고사항]

1. 임원구성 : 위원장 박관준 목사, 서기: 김영미 장로

2. 교회를 방문하여 당회와 만나기로 하고 카톡으로 날짜를 정하기로 하다.
3. 거리관계상 sub-committee 를 구성하여 진행하고 전체회의에 보고하기로 하다.
4. 히스패닉 교회에 교회를 렌트하는 문제를 교회와 협의하기로 하다.
5. 소위원으로 : 박관준, 김신태, 윤치현, 김영미 장로로 정하다.
6. 최종적으로 당회와 대화를 시도하고 성공하지 못할 경우 변호사에게 위임하기로 하다.

[Action] 그동안 대화에 응하지 않으므로 최종적으로 6월 18일 교회를 방문하여 대화와 협력을 요구 했 으나 교회에 소개조차 하지 않았고, 거부하므로 Jef Scotte 변호사에게 재산문제와 관련된 사항 일체를 위임하는 현의안을 노회가 받다.

[상임사법위원회] 위원장 : 강기석 목사

[Action] 메릴랜드 제일장로교회 당회의 현의안, “김덕영 장로의 장로직 원상 회복”에 관한 건은 사법위원회가 받아 심의를 거쳤음을 보고 받았고 상임사법위원회의 제정체 가부를 물어 만장일치로 통과하다. [본회록 12면참고]

#### [특별위원회보고]

[내규수정위원회] ; 경과보고를 하다.

[총무은퇴 준비 위원회] 현재까지 약 3000 불이 모금이 되었다.

[55-1차 행정전권위원회] ; 위원장 윤치현 목사, 서기: 김영미 장로

지난 노회가 위임해준 일을 처리하기 위해 메릴랜드장로교회를 방문하고 당회와의 만남을 갖고자 하였으나 당회의 합당하지 않은 이유를 들어 거부하므로 만남을 이루지 못했다.

3.18 일 주일 행정위원 박관준 목사 와 사무총장 조남홍목사가 방문하여 교회사정을 알아보려 하였으나 당회의 비협조로 만남이 이루어지지 않았다.

6 월 11 일 행정위원회 공식방문을 미리 통지하고 방문을 하였으나 당회는 만남을 받아주지 않았다.

2차로 재 방문을 하기로 하고 일정을 7 월 9 일 이나 16 일중 교회가 편리한 일정을 정하여서 하기로 공문을 보내었으나 메릴랜드 당회가 여러이유를 들어 행정위원회 방문을 거부하는 공문을 보내왔다..

[Action]이상과 같이 노회가 결정한 행정위원회의 방문을 지교회가 계속 거부하는 상황에서 현 행정위원회로서는 더 이상 할 일이 없으므로 노회가 보다 더 효과적인 대책을 세워주시기를 바란다는 현의안은 운영위원회가 제안한 새 행정전권위원회 구성안이 통과 되므로 자동해산 하다.


현의안



# 메릴랜드 제일장로교회

FIRST KOREAN PRESBYTERIAN CHURCH OF MARYLAND

6410 Kenilworth Ave., Riverdale, MD 20737  
Tel : 301.927.4194 Fax : 301.927.9029



## 현 의 안

제목: 김덕영 장로의 직제사역 회복 청원의 건(D-12.0201)  
수신: 대서양 한미노회 상임사법위원장 및 노회장  
발신: 메릴랜드 제일장로교회 당회

주님의 성노회를 섬기시는 노회장님 이하 상임사법위원분들의 노고에 감사 드립니다.  
2017년 봄 정기노회를 앞두고 저희 교회 당회가 노회에 청원 드리는 바는 다음과 같습니다.

- 다 음 -






1. 김덕영 씨(2014년 8월 15일 이전, 장로)의 장로안수 박탈 회복 후 본 교회 협동장로 임명
2. 김덕영씨는 2015년 11월 22일, 메릴랜드 제일장로교회에 새신자로 등록하여, 새가족교육(3주)을 수료하고, 활동교인이 되어 2017년 6월, 현재까지 1년반(19개월)이상 모든 신앙생활과 교인의 의무인 성수주일, 십일조, 수요일예배, 새벽기도회, 목장예배, 자치회활동외 봉사활동에 가장 성실하고 모범적으로 임하여 왔습니다.(본 교회 내규 제22조 3항: "본교단(PCUSA)의 경우 1년이 경과한자 가운데 당회가 임명할 수 있다")
3. 김덕영씨는 PCUSA에서 1986년 장로임직을 받아 30여년간 직분을 수행해 오던 중, 교회의 통합과정에서 실수와 잘못이 있었다 하여도, 반평생 섬김에 대해 "장로영구박탈"은 과하다 사료되며, 지금까지 본인이 본교회에 평신도로 등록하여 보여준 회개와 섬김의 모습은 많은 성도들에게 본이 되기에 충분하기에, 이미 노년(1944년 생)에 장로의 안수직은 회복시켜 주는 것이 노회의 정신에 부합하는 "하나님의 긍휼"의 행위라 믿어 의심치 않습니다.

### 4. 참고: 판결내용

#### 1. 장로안수직박탈 및 교인제명(판결내용설명)

2014년 8월 15일부터 김덕영씨는 미국장로교 대서양한미노회 메릴랜드장로 교회의 장로의 안수를 영구히 박탈하며 메릴랜드 장로교회 교인으로서의 자격도 또한 박탈한다.  
앞으로 김덕영 씨는 미국장로교 소속 교회에서는 어떠한 직분도 받을 수 없다. 단 미국장로교 소속 교회 외에 그 어떤 교회에 출석하여 신앙생활하는 자유를 제한하지 않는다.

### 5. 참고: 메릴랜드 제일장로교회 교인등록카드

메릴랜드 제일장로교회	당회장	조 은상 목사	
	당회서기	조 규돈 장로	
	당회원	김 일근 장로	
		김 창수 장로	
		김 영미 장로	



## 민족장로교회

Glen Burnie Korean Presbyterian Church

100 Third Avenue, S.W., Glen Burnie, Maryland 21061  
Tel: (401) 760-0956 (C) 768-3798 (H) Fax: (410) 766-2431

목사: 정창은  
Pastor: Rev. Chang Eun Chung

이명보완서류

### 교회 가입 청원서

민족장로교회(Glen Burnie Korean Presbyterian Church)

100 Third Ave. s.w. Glen Burnie, Md 21061

410)760-0956(c)

Pin No

수신: 대서양 한미 노회 장

3.15.2017

참조: 서기, 사무총장

첨부: 당회록 사본 1부

본 교회는 공동의회의 결의로 귀 노회에 가입하기를 청원 하오니 허락하여 주시기 바라며 본 교회는 미국 장로교회 귀 노회의 지교회로 미국장로교회의 헌법과 예배모범 및 신앙고백을 준수하며 복음전파와 영혼구원을 위한 교회의 사명을 다하는 교회가 되기를 다짐하며 이 청원서를 제출합니다.

임시 당회장 정창은 목사

당회 서기 최용석장로

내게 능력 주시는 자 안에서 내가 모든 것을 할 수 있느니라. (빌 4:13)

Mailing Address: P.O. Box 593, Glen Burnie, MD 21060-0593 목사관: 206 A Street, S.W., Glen Burnie, MD 21061

## 대표위원회

## CHECKLIST FOR PRESBYTERY MINUTES REVIEW (AKAP)

Guidelines – Reference Pages	Dates of Meetings				
	04/16/15	07/07/15	12/08/15		
1. Date/Place/Stated Meeting	April 16 Wheaton Community Church, MD	July 7 Myrtle Beach, SC	December 8 Silver Spring, MD		
2. Date/Place/Called Meeting	N/A	N/A	N/A		
3. Open/Close with Prayer	Yes	Yes	Yes		
4. Roll of Attendance/Absence	Yes	Yes			
5. Corresponding Members	No (visitors)	No	No (Visitors)		
6. Quorum Present	Yes (32)	Yes (29)	Yes (21)		
7. Celebration of Lord's Supper	Yes	No	Yes		
8. COR Report	Yes	Yes	Yes		
9. Annual Directory/Necrology	No	No	No		
10. Report Action	Yes	Yes	Yes		
11. Yearly Session Reviews	No	No	No The General Council made a decision to do the session reviews (p.11)		
12. Presbytery Council Report	Yes	Yes	Yes		
13. COM Report	Yes	Yes	Yes		
14. Approved Presbytery Budget	No	No	No (It seems that there is no budget at all.)		
15. Treasurer's Annual Financial Report; report of audit/ review	Yes	Yes	Yes		
16. Ecumenical Relationship Report	No	No	No		
17. Presbytery Minutes Approved by Presbytery	Yes	Yes	Yes (There is no		

## 부지매각청원

**리치몬드한인중앙장로교회**

RichmondKorean Central Presbyterian Church

2715 Swineford Rd, Richmond, VA 23237

Church: 804.275.1656

Home Page: WWW.RKPC.COM

담임목사(Pastor): 전은기 (Rev. Eun-Gee Jun)

발신: 리치몬드한인중앙장로교회 당회/이사회

수신: 대서양한미노회 이사회

안건: 교회부지 매각에 관한 청원

## 청원서

존경하는 대서양한미노회 이사회 이사장님과 이사여러분께,

저희 리치몬드한인중앙장로교회는 하나님의 은혜와 축복으로 이 곳 리치몬드지역에 그 보금자리를 잡은 이래로 조용히 부흥을 거듭해 오고 있던 중 차오르는 본당과 교실 수 부족을 해소하고 더 나아가 한인밀집지역으로 이전하여 더 많은 영혼들을 하나님 앞으로 인도하기 위한 비전을 가지고 지난 2007년 저희 교회의 이전과 신축을 염두에 두고 신축부지를 구입했습니다. 하지만 시간이 지남에 따라 부지에 신축을 하는 것 보다는 매물로 나온 기존의 건물을 구입하는 것이 비용에 있어서 효율적이라는 의견이 지배적으로 많아진 바 이사회가 2017년 7월 8일 이사회를 열어 부지판매를 결정하고 2017년 7월 16일 임시공동의회를 열어 현 부동산을 매각하기로 전 교인이 하나로 의견을 모았습니다.

이에 저희 리치몬드한인중앙장로교회는 대서양한미노회이사회에 다음의 청원을 올립니다.

1. 리치몬드한인중앙장로교회가 211 North Courthouse Road, Richmond VA 23236 에 위치한 부지를 판매하는 것을 허락하여 주시길 청원합니다.

리치몬드한인중앙장로교회 당회서기 장로 안희성

2017년 7월 16일

사본: 총무 조남홍목사

첨부: 이사회, 당회 및 공동의회 회의록 사본

Richmond Korean Central Presbyterian Church



## 이사회

일시 : 2017년 7월 8일 월요일 오전 7:45

장소 : 당회상선

참석 : 정은기 김은 이계영 안희성

기도 : 정은기 목사

### <안건 및 논의사항>

1. Courthouse Road에 있는 교회 신축 부지를  
매각하기로 하고 그 내용을 논의를  
하기로 하다.

동의 : 이계영

재석 : 김은

기도 : 정은기 목사 (오전 8:00)

  
Moderator of Session, RKPC

  
Clerk of Session, RKPC

Date: 7/8/2017

## 2017년도 2차 공동의회 (일시)

일시: 2017년 7월 16일 주일 오후 12:05

장소: 본당

회원참여: 활동회원 101명 중 1/3인 34명을 넘어 57명으로 참여가 됨

기회 선언했 기도: 전은기 목사

### < 신축복지 대강횃 비수정을 위한 공동의회 >

1. 제기호리: 안희성 - 구두로 (인원) 한다.

2. 대강은 투표에 올린다.

구두투표를 제안하다 - 동의: 이성희, 제청: 김연우

투표결과 - 찬성 54표, 기권 3표 (과반수 29명을 넘어)

대강제정이 낮음을 의장이 선포함

3. 폐회 동의 - 비수정  
(제청 - 안희성)

4. 폐회 기도: 안희성

마침시간: 12:10 PM



Moderator of Session, RKPC



Clerk of Session, RKPC

Date: 7/16/2017

## 2017년 7월 임시당회

일시: 2017년 7월 8일 토요일 오전 8:00

장소: 당회상식

참석: 전원이 김은 이계경 안희성

기도: 성경 (이사회 시작기도 대체)

## &lt;안건 및 토의사항&gt;


· 신축부지 매각건을 놓고 7/9, 7/16 두차례 걸쳐  
 광고한 후 7/16 공동회의를 소집하여 가부를 물기로 하다.

· 분안커뮤니티 8개를 2주일에 걸쳐 광고를 한 후  
 - 이의가 없으면 소제하기로 하다.

· 침실과 사무실쪽 Thermostat을 날짜별로 프로그램 할수  
 있는 것으로 관계하기로 하다.

기도: 이계경장로 (오전 8:25)

  
 Moderator of Session, RKPC

  
 Clerk of Session, RKPC

Date: 7/8/2017



**Date:** March 23, 2017

**To:** Jae Hun Yoon, Clerk of Session, Maryland Presbyterian Church (MPC)  
Nam Cho, Stated Clerk, Atlantic Korean American Presbytery (AKAP)  
All Members of the Synod Permanent Judicial Commission (SPJC)

**From:** TE Warren J. Lesane, Stated Clerk, Synod of the Mid-Atlantic

**Subject: Denial of Stay of Enforcement**

On March 6, 2017 Synod Stated Clerk received a complaint dated February 21, 2017 from the Session of Maryland Presbyterian Church against Atlantic Korean American Presbytery and a Request for a Stay of Enforcement dated February 20, 2017 concerning actions taken at a Presbytery meeting on February 20, 2017.

When a request for a Stay of Enforcement is received, the SPJC Officers issue provisional findings on the preliminary questions (D-6.0305) and forward those findings and the complaint to the members of the SPJC and to both parties. If three or more members of the SPJC agree within 10 days to issue such a stay, a stay is issued (D-6.0103b, c & d) by the Synod Stated Clerk.

On March 13, 2017, the SPJC Officers issued their preliminary findings and the Stated Clerk sent the findings to all parties. I now report to you that the 10-day deadline has passed with no SPJC members submitting statements approving a stay. Accordingly, the request for a stay of enforcement is denied.

D-6.0303 spells out the next required step for this case. AKAP is asked to (1) name its Committee of Counsel (COC) and (2) now the COC must file with the Synod Stated Clerk its "concise answer" to Maryland Presbyterian Church Complaint. The Respondent is also to furnish a copy of the concise answer to the complainant. Your concise answer should reach Synod Stated Clerk in 45 days or by May 9, 2017 if not sooner.

With both the original complaint and the concise answer in hand, the SPJC Moderator and Clerk shall determine whether the complaint satisfies the four preliminary issues of D-6.0305. They will promptly report their findings to the parties and the SPJC members, who may challenge the officers' findings within thirty days. If a challenge or challenges are proffered, the full SPJC will hold a hearing and decide the four preliminary issues.

May the grace of our Lord Jesus Christ be ever-present with you today and beyond.

## 메릴랜드 장로교회의 고소에 대한 답변

1

To: The Stated Clerk, the Synod of Mid-Atlantic  
 From: The Counsel of Atlantic Korean American Presbytery.  
 Date: May 3<sup>rd</sup>, 2017

### Concise Answer

Session of the Maryland Presbyterian Church (MPC) has attended 55-1 Special Presbytery meeting of Atlantic Korean American Presbytery (AKAP) on February 20, 2017 and found irregularities regarding forming Administrative Commission for Maryland Presbyterian Church again.

*Answer: It is hopeful for Maryland Presbyterian Church (hereafter, MPC) is strongly encouraged to stop their repeated filing complaint before SPJC but open a dialogue with the presbytery for the mission of ministry given by God.*

*First complaint was filed by the pastor arbitrarily with an elder from the beginning, without voting, as one of their active elder already testified before SPJC at January 10<sup>th</sup>, Trial. If MPC did not violate against the book of order in various ways, the presbytery would not need to organize Administrative Commission (hereafter AC).*

Rev. Nam Cho has insisted that if AKAP does not form an AC again, it must be violating the order of SPJC. The AKAP's decisions seemed to be made by misinterpretation about recommendation of SPJC.

*Answer: It is not the matter of "interpretation," but the "necessity" of it. Whatever Rev. Nam Cho may say, the presbytery is not fool to follow his argument. If it was not needed, whether it was "order" or "recommendation", SPJC would not prescribe it to do so. According to the recommendation, the presbytery approved it by voting through the discernment process of a long debate and discussion. It is for the mission and ministry of the presbytery and the congregation as well.*

We therefore have made an inquiry to the Stated Clerk of the Synod of Mid Atlantic regarding the definition of recommendation and if it has mandatory power to follow immediately.

*Answer: The AKAP also made an inquiry to the Permanent Judicial Commission of General Assembly (hereafter, GPJC). According to commentary of GPJC, only higher court has authority to interpret a clause or word or decision of lower court and so the presbytery is*

*free from the interpretation of SPJC. It can be a reference but not official one from GPJC to be referred. Also it is not the matter of interpretation, but it is the matter of forming AC or not to solve the problems.*

However, we received response from Clerk of Synod that the validation of recommendation is different from the Order which is mandatory to follow. During the Presbytery meeting, we have proposed to amicably resolve any issues with the AKAP. MPC session has been operated without any problem since two churches integrated 2014. Our church as an original jurisdiction status has been normally operating and will be able to sustain, and function as healthy congregation. Why do we need AC?

*Answer: Repeatedly it is not the matter of the interpretation but the matter of forming AC or not. The complainer should not cloud the issue. Whatever the "mandatory" or "recommendation" the issue is whether AC is necessary or not over MPC. The presbytery clarified it and it is not the suddenly protruding agenda but has been struggling for solution of involved problems.*

*MPC claims that they proposed to amicable resolve but it is not received by the presbytery as the members know how MPC has shown their efforts for the amicable resolution up to the time.*

In other words our church session normally has full capacity to be able to pursue mission and vision of God with fullness of Holy Spirit. However Presbytery did not allow us to debate about the matter even though we were eager to express our opinion but presbytery did not give us any opportunity. We are filing this complaint because AKAP seemed not in compliance with the Decision of SPJC and also disobedient to the Order of SPJC, which might be constitute of a contempt of SPJC's Verdict.

*Answer: It is not true. Every commissioner has all right to say and none was blocked to say his/her opinion what matter it may be. The presbytery allowed them enough time to argue their issue and provided them more than enough to speak out. But the pastor John An with other two members kept asking a voice repeatedly by turn and they enjoyed more than enough to speak what they wanted. Even their arguments led to block chances of other members to speak. Their behavior was interruptible.*

First, AKAP's breaching of SPJC's Decision and Order can be summarized as follows, SPJC ordered AKAP to report the Decision and Order to the whole Presbytery and supposed to enter the Decision and Order on the Presbytery Minutes but AKAP seems to have failed to

abide by SPJC's "Decision and Order" made by on Jan 10, 2017. While the Stated Clerk was reporting briefly, one presbytery member stood up and tried to clarify the contents of "the Decision and Order" with a copy of Korean translation and asked moderator to allow him to distribute the hand- out as a part of his motion. But moderator did not allow it and the member's remark was in restraint. Moderator did not ask if there were any questions about contents of the Decision and Order. The members on the floor have not been asked whether the report to be passed without a question by the Moderator.

*Answer: It is not true. "Decision and Order" of SPJC were reported to all commissioners at its 55-1<sup>st</sup> called meeting. It was attached in the docket so that commissioners could read and review. Also full discussion and debate were done to form AC on the new AC over the MPC. Every commissioner who want to speak expressed fairly and equally his/her concern.*

*But the complainer with his only supporter kept requested a voices unfairly, repeatedly, and overly. As a result, some members' opportunity to speak was lost and the right of voice of them was interfered. As a result, the moderator repeatedly warned and requested to cooperate so that other members can have equal opportunities to have a voice.*

*The floor did not want to distribute the private translation because it was not authentic. Most members are able to read and understand the SPJC's "Decision and Order" in English. The complaint's claim that moderator did not ask the floor to allow or not is not true. None wanted it except one as discussion had done fully enough.*

1. SPJC ordered AKAP to dismiss the previous Administrative Commission that was formed on 54th Stated Presbytery Meeting but did not take any action.

*Answer: It is not true. When the motion was carried, it was based on the condition to dismiss the Old AC for New AC as its rationale says. Also it was included the unfinished matters that previous AC did not deal with should be taken care of.*

2. SPJC ordered AKAP to nullify the decision of forming an AC from 54th Presbytery meeting but did not take any action.

*Answer: It is not true. As answered the above, the presbytery accepted it and it is an impeccable action. Furthermore, SPJC's "Decision and Order" is not the matter of approving by the presbytery unless otherwise the presbytery has an intention to appeal before GPJC.*

Second, AKAP has been making three ACs so far for MPC. Previous two ACs have not yet

been dismissed and now has added one more new AC in 55-1 Special Presbytery Meeting.

*It is not true. The complainer is intentionally distorting and trying misleading the truth. The answer is already done in the above.*

Third, Seven of AKAP's new AC members are disqualified except one. Here is the AKAP's principle of forming a new AC.

1. Previous AC in 54th presbytery was formed to deal with about the issues of church property. From now on current BT would address the matter of church property. However the newly formed AC in 55-1 presbytery would discuss other matters excluding property issue.

*Answer: It is not true. The property issue was initial reason but the presbytery needed to check them out as there were verbal complains which are not documented because of fear of invisible revenge from the pastor and an elder who initiated this whole matter.*

2. The witnesses for the Complaint would be excluded as a member of a new AC.

According to this principle, previous AC members who used to be for respondent should be excluded also. However the newly appointed AC's membership is consist of almost same persons as involved in previous AC. Only one out of four pastor members has been replaced. Therefore three pastors who belonged to previous AC should be disqualified. And two out of three ruling elders should be disqualified. They are not commissioners. One ruling elder was a previous AC member. Accordingly the new AC should be naturally dismissed.

*To appoint the AC members are the right of presbytery. There is no limit to appoint AC members. The reason why the previous AC was nullified was due to "full investigation and opportunity to be heard," not the AC members.*

Fourth, the missions of new AC are disqualified. Missions of the new AC intend to do following things,

1. Dissolve the previous Judicial Administrative Commission and deal with the matters they did not finish.

*Answer: The complainer is intentionally misinterpreting the original Korean context. The original Korean text goes like this: "As the previous AC with unfinished matters was dismissed, simultaneously if any, they should be done in new AC." There is no verb*



*"dissolve" in Korean text. The complainer is misleading to take advantage of their benefit.*

Review all the church financial matters and over all of the church ministry after two years of the merging process.

*Answer: The better translation should go like this; "Check financial situation of 2 years after merge and any errors in whole ministerial works" They are needed but SPJC did not take any action and so unfinished issues should be dealt with.*

2. Investigate the matters raised during the process of SPJC trial on January 10, 2017.

*Answer: The better translation should go like this; "examine the matters arose in the trial. It is crystal clear that the complaint in the name of session, which led to the trial of SPJC on January 10, 201, is not true. There was no decision or recommendation by the session meeting and one of session member submitted evidences how the complaint was falsely filled. So it is necessary to investigate the process in order to block the abusing the judicial system.*

3. Review over all the pastoral ministry (Session minutes, Annual report...)

*Answer: the session has never submitted session minutes with others up to the present, for example and the reasons are mentioned the above.*

4. Review Nominating process of ruling e elders and way of election

*Answer: The better translation should go like this: "examine elder nominating process and its election method and others to see any error against the book of order." There is a protest that the pastor elected what he wanted with no normal process of nominating committee.*

5. Investigate the Property management and review lease contract and church property Insurance

*Answer: The better translation goes like this: " In cooperation of the board of trustee, church maintenance, check the matters of lease contract, insurance, and rental and take appropriate action. "*

About upper mentioned issues AKAP has not given MPC the full opportunity to be heard.

*Answer: The session has never cooperated to clarify the above matters and never came to the table of dialogue and conversation.*

If AKAP had followed the Book of Order G-3.0303e, we might have been able to explain about all the matters.

Item 1 dissolving a previous AC should not be the mission of new AC.

*Answer: Translation is not correct as described in the several answers in the above. If repeat it, it means that the unfinished matters should be reviewed, which remained in dismissal of Old AC.*

Item 2-4 these matters must be resolved by COM. We already have submitted to the COM the Documents that AKAP has been requested and the Stated Clerk. 55th Presbytery Meeting Docket shows that Stated Clerk received the documents from MPC. If AKAP had done thorough investigation before forming the AC, AKAP must surely have found that we do not need the new AC.

*Answer: The documents were not timely submitted. It was done as soon as the trial started and there was no chance to check by COM. It became one of the motion of forming AC. The session has never cooperated with the presbytery rather than filing complain.*

MPC Session has the original jurisdiction about item 5. If there were any Complaints about this, complaint should start from the MPC session not from the Presbytery first. As long as the new AC does not replace our Session, we do not need a new AC.

*Answer: The complaint came from the session member of MPC, not from the presbytery and requested to intervene the massed matter. The MPC does not function according to the book of order but abusing it as one pastor with an elder to control their handful members.*

Item 6 can be resolved by the BT of AKAP without an AC.

*Answer: The property is owned by the presbytery. It is not resolved without cooperation of the presbytery. It is like to live in somebody's residence illegally. Whatever its history says its property, the owner with title holder must do what the owner must do. But the session has never cooperated with the presbytery in order to solve the problem. AC is intended to help the congregation with the property matter, but nothing has done yet since the property was transferred.*

This is clearly indicating AKAP kept violating Book of Order G-3.0303e

*Answer: It is not true. MPC has never cooperated with the presbytery and there is no chances given to the presbytery whatever. The presbytery has not been honored.*

*COM, general counsel etc.*

We solemnly request the complaint be dismissed and the MPC session should stop abusing the presbytery and its members in the name of the book of order to sustain its position.

Respectfully Submitted,

Committee of Counsels.



Byeong Ho Choi



Eun Sang Cho



Jung Sook Kim



## Synod of the Mid-Atlantic

3601 Seminary Avenue | Richmond, Virginia 23227 | 804 342-0016 | [www.synatlantic.org](http://www.synatlantic.org)

**Date:** June 20, 2017

**To:** Jae Hun Yoon, Clerk of Session, Maryland Presbyterian Church (MPC)  
Byeong Ho Choi, Committee of Counsel (COC), Atlantic Korean American Presbytery (AKAP)  
Nam Cho, Stated Clerk, AKAP  
All members of the Synod Permanent Judicial Commission (SPJC)

**From:** Warren J. Lesane, Stated Clerk, Synod of the Mid-Atlantic

**Subject:** Remedial Case, Session, MPC v. AKAP

On March 6, 2017 the Synod Stated Clerk received a complaint dated February 21, 2017 from the Session of Maryland Presbyterian Church against AKAP and a request for a stay of enforcement dated February 20, 2017 concerning actions taken at a Presbytery meeting on February 20, 2017. D-6.0103d(2) requires the concurrence of at least three SPJC members to grant a stay request, but since no member of the commission filed a statement of approval the request was denied. On May 3, 2017 the COC of AKAP submitted its concise answer to the complaint as required by D-6.0303. The SPJC officers, Moderator Carol Haas and Clerk John Goodman, measured both the complaint and the concise answer against the preliminary questions stated in D-6.0305, and found all four questions to be answered in the affirmative. On May 18, 2017, the officers announced their findings to the two parties and to all SPJC members, advising all that each party and each SPJC member had 30 days to challenge these findings.

The 30-day period allowed for a challenge to the officers' findings has now passed with no challenge filed. In the normal course of events the SPJC would now begin to move this case forward toward trial. However, an additional complaint has been filed (Kim and An v AKAP) that challenges whether the Presbytery meeting which acted on the matters in the present complaint was legitimate, alleging irregularities in the calling and conduct of the meeting and claiming that actions taken at the meeting must be declared null and void.

Therefore, the SPJC officers intend to take no further action on this case until the Kim and An v AKAP case progresses to the point where the SPJC knows if the case will move forward toward trial or be dismissed for failure to meet one or more of the preliminary questions. The SPJC awaits the concise answer from AKAP for that case before officers' findings can be determined and issued.

In any event, it is the intent of the officers to hold a pretrial conference (D-6.0310) on MPC v AKAP before scheduling a trial date in the hope that this case can be resolved without the cost to all of another trial.

김범수 안현준 목사의 55-1차 노회를무효화 시켜달라는 고소에 대한 답변

## Concise Answer upon Complaint of Revs An & Kim

### [Background]

For them it seems too pitiful to file a complaint again. It is no wonder they have joined together in such a business. They hurt themselves and do to the other colleagues as well. Not only they do harm their colleagues but also, they hurt their congregations, in spite that they had ever served as moderator, even Synod Moderator to Rev. Young H. Lee, and committee chairs.

To include other two unknown pastors seems to show how this complaint looks serious and to be paid attention, but it is shown how wretched they are. Among them some have been searching for new charge to serve, others are in troubles within their congregation. None is able to deny the facts that they have been made many troubles stemmed from their unacceptable behaviors having done harms to the life and mission of the Atlantic Korean American Presbytery. Before answers are given, it is very sorry for everyone to show several examples how they have done harm as follow:

1. Rev. Young H. Lee, who served once as a moderator of AKAP as well as the Synod of Mid-Atlantic, had driven all commissioners out from his church in the middle of the 50<sup>th</sup> stated presbytery meeting, together with his elder commissioner, yelling hysterically toward commissioners, "You all get out of here immediately, this is my church."

As a pastor of hosting church, his leadership must be shown at this moment so that he should lose temper at the sanctuary where he is preaching, but he seconded at his anger on his motion. Above and beyond, two people kept asking a question to vote, but the motion was dead by majority vote after exchanging heated argument between two or three and other members.

They should not host 50<sup>th</sup> Stated Presbytery Meeting, but none knows why they hosted the presbytery meeting and later it was found they did it without approval of his session. The feeling of being cheated was not erased for the time being. This incident was occurred because their concerned agenda was not adopted as they wanted. This case had been under investigation with other issues, but he refused to sign on the optional settlement to make peace and unity by the investigation committee, and his case is waiting for new investigation committee. His session made apology but its pastor did not.

Not only this clash, but also Rev. Lee sued the presbytery twice without valid ground as Synod Judicial Permeant Members dealt with this case may know. Recently he contributed very important role so that the 55<sup>th</sup> presbytery stated

meeting might be dragged out more than 6 hours. With these two complainers and the previous moderator and their elders combined and work together to block the report of nominating committee asserting that the report is violated against the Book of Order. This wrong interpretation contributed to prolong the meeting time over 6 hours. The moderator at that time also malfunctioned in presiding the meeting. More chances to speak were given to individuals in his favor more than enough, and also did not hand over his gavel to vice moderator.

But their conspiratorial scheme was defeated through the authorized interpretation of Director of Office of Presbyterian Polity and the presbytery came to normality. The Presbytery Stated Clerk also clarified as a parliamentary person but they did not listen and ignore it. After this, Rev. Lee did not appear at the 55-1<sup>st</sup> Presbytery Meeting summoned in his neighborhood and no apology has been made yet.

2. Complainer Rev. Bum Soo Kim has also been under investigation because he made a physical attack a female elder commissioner just before 50-1<sup>st</sup> Called Presbytery Meeting began at the meeting of nominating committee. He was dragged out by federal agency who was a spouse of the female elder, and police was called but the presbytery let them back for peace and the elder did not want to make further problem. This incident was reported to Rev. Warren Lasane who presented at that meeting with his delegates to observe and also chided us but in reality, those two to three persons have been always problematic in all issues. It was done with his side Elder Sam Kim of Richmond Korean Presbyterian Church Who Rev. Yong Ho Lee is the senior pastor. Currently he left the town and had no way to be disciplined. They may look good on surface from the synod as they have kept contacting with Synod Leadership as they say.
3. Rev. John An also testified a false fact at the same presbytery meeting to have the Synod delegates be paid attention, and to humiliate the presbytery leadership, witnessing that two complainers were physically repelled from members of the nominating committee, but there was nothing happened like his claim. To tell a lie is recorded. Rather than his lie, two complainers interrupted the meeting intentionally in spite of no eligibility to seat, and the chair requested them to leave for orderly process but they resisted to leave, and not listened. So, the committee moved to another room but they tried invade in the room and in this development, physical attack was occurred by two people Rev. Bum S. Kim and Elder Sam Kim.

In 20 years of AKAP's history, such a thing had never occurred and it is so shameful. It is not sure how much the presbytery may be tolerant to those people.

**[No Signature Issue]**

They have had a bloodshot to ransack every corner of any issue and process to cause problems to gain their power and benefit. It is as frustrated with their behaviors as pastors who should seek the way of unity and peace. As mentioned above, while they served, but

none raised any problem if it is not fatal error for peace and unity. But those individuals kept causing problems, and using the Book of Order and bylaw as their arms to do harm other members and presbytery itself like Korean old saying, "I can't get it, none to get it."

It is so sad how long the presbytery is going to be dragged out by such antagonists who do not mind in their ministry but in power game. One commonality of them is that they have no PCUSA or PCUSA related theological seminary or denomination. Two pastors came from other denomination like PCA and similar one who are frequently splitting his church in many cases among Korean Presbyterian Church Community who are leaving us blaming our theology and policy. It is so worried same thing may happen as a result.

#### **[Bylaw and New Book of Order]**

In a word, the 55-1<sup>st</sup> Called Presbytery Meeting of Feb. 20<sup>th</sup>, 2017, had no irregularity in its quorum and was held. It is summoned not only to keep the Decision and Order of SPJC faithfully, but also to follow the resolution which was made previous presbytery meeting held on December 6, 2016 at the City of Wilmington, NC.

The bylaw is not able to override the resolution of the presbytery. The bylaw has been suspended, adjusted, and modified since the Book of Order Amended in 2012, the presbytery adopted a resolution to take reference of 2009-2012 version to make a decision if any issue is not specified in new version different from previous versions, and therefore "Bylaws-Revision-Committee" was constituted and the revision work is under way.

Also, the resolution was made to apply it in flexibility to suit the pastoral situation until New Bylaw will be adopted." Meanwhile, the bylaws have used to apply case by case in flexibility by a majority vote. But the two complainers it has become an arm to attack presbytery. It is supposed if their agenda does not offer their satisfaction, this kind of thing it will be continued for the time being. Now we are going to elaborate our answers as follow;

[Complaint] 1 According to the By Laws of AKAP Section 6-2, calling the special meeting should be decided by the Council and by laws Section 7-3 the agenda of the meeting should be decided by the General Council. But 55-1 Special Presbytery Meeting violated the By Laws Section 6-2 and Section 7-3 because the meeting was decided to call by the officer's meeting and there was no council meeting to decide the agenda of the Special Presbytery Meeting.

[Answer]: Bylaw is under revision since 2015 right after the Book of Order was revised and the 49<sup>th</sup>-1 Called Presbytery Meeting made a decision the Bylaw of Presbytery is suspended, suppressed, and modified for revision according to follow New Book of Order but by cases it applies to the 2009-2012 Book of Order, especially G-Section. The evidence will be submitted in hearing or trial).

Even if so, there is no violation against the Bylaw, the 55-1<sup>th</sup> Called Meeting was held by

the decision of imitate presbytery meeting to call in February 2017. Due to those two pastors with previous moderator, the presbytery meeting was continued over 6 hours because of their wrong interpretation of the nominating committee (In January 2017, Director of Polity Office (Rev. Joyce Lieberman) approved the nomination process of this presbytery was correct. The director's written interpretation and commentary were reported in 55-1th Called Presbytery Meeting. If there was any violation or error, they had enough chance to make objection. None was objected against it.

[Complaint] 2. According to the By Laws of AKAP Section 6-1, quorum of the meeting should be more than 3 sessions. But when moderator announce opening of the meeting, quorum was not met. There were only 2 sessions were present.

Answer: Over three sessions were in presence including a complainer's elder commissioner. The complainers' complaint is objection for objection to boost their complaining. As attached sign up paper from commissioners, there are no error or violation as shown in attached # 1.

[Complaint] 3 According to the By Laws 7-10, Finance Committee should decide the salary of the EP/Clerk. However, without any meeting of Finance Committee to decide the salary of the EP, Moderator abruptly moved the motion to pay EP's salary \$10,000.00 a year and despite of argument about the motion should not be moved in the Special Meeting because the item was not on the docket. This is violating By Laws 7-10.

[Answer]: As explained the above, the bylaw has used in flexibility and it will continue to go by the time of amended one comes into existence. Due to the interruption of those complainers, no committee was approved by the time because of complainers' interruption at 55th Presbytery Meeting by wrong interpretation of the Book of Order (Rev. Young Ho Lee, habitual complainer) and it was not time to do budgeting because the organization of each committee was putting off by the February Called Presbytery Meeting. The moderator did not make a motion for salary. Due to finance committee was not organized owing to be interfered in the report of nominating committee, there was no time to budget and until the budgeting is ended, for the operation of the presbytery the EP's expenses was moved, seconded, and carried by a member at floor. Up to now nothing has been paid in the name of salary.

[Complaint] 4. Yearly financial report is not validating. Book of Order C3-0113 stipulates a full financial review of all financial books and records shall be conducted every year by a public accountant or committee of members versed in accounting procedures. 55th Presbytery meeting passed the motion that the 2016 yearly financial report should be reviewed by a public accountant before report to the presbytery by the Treasurer not by the Interim E.P. The 2016 yearly financial report which has been passed in 55-1 Special Presbytery meeting is violating not only the Book of Order but also the decision of the 55th presbytery meeting.

[Answer]: Before answer is given, the complainers' financial reports must be declared whether their financial reports are also reviewed or audited because theirs are much more than the presbytery budget. It is said that they have never reviewed or audited their



financial reports. In this vein, they have no qualification to complain about it.

Also, as mentioned the bylaw has been used as the one compatible to the financial status. It will go in that way until New Bylaw will be born. The financial audit or review, whatever it takes a form, has been done up to now by internal auditors' due to our small amount of budget which has been less than a local member church. The budget is very low and no enough fund to hire a public accountant or a foreign one and it is not against the Book of Order as you read in C3-0113.

For 2016 the financial review could not be done because of their interruption of those people mentioned the above. Their interfering led not to adopt the recommendation of nominating committee and the recommendation was dead but only officers were elected for operation. This is why the 2016 audit was not received, and no auditors were appointed and has no chance. The 55<sup>th</sup> Stated Presbytery Meeting adopted a resolution that all financial records are transferred to new treasurer after financial review. This is the reality and there is no reason not to receive financial audit or review whatever.

We do our best as Two years (2014-2015) of financial reports were submitted to the Synod (Executive Committee) and approved. We welcome any agency to review financial report in its own expense for it because no fund available to cover public accountant's fees. This is why presbytery has done by itself for financial review.

[Complaint Continued] With the reasoning above four violations of By Laws and Book of Order of PCUSA, we request SPJC to nullify the 55-1 Special Presbytery Meeting and all the decisions which has been passed by the meeting.

[Relief Request] The complainers' arguments are the complaint for complaint to cause the troubles within the presbytery. It has done time to time when their agenda was not satisfied as mentioned above. If is confirmed that there has been no fatal violation or error so that the presbytery meeting may be nullified.

The presbytery is prayerfully waiting for their restoration and repentances and go back to their ministry not fight against the presbytery. In particular, Rev. An's 1/3 congregation members filed a petition to dissolve his pastoral relationship with Maryland Presbyterian Church. Main reason is "pastor An is not doing ministry but suing his presbytery with bloodshot eyes." Some of the complainers also have searched their new ministry but was not successful but it is hopeful to find a church to do clean start.

Committee of Counsel respectfully submits and humbly requests to turn this complaint down immediately for the life, mission, and ministry of the Atlantic Korean American Presbytery.

Respectfully,

메릴랜드장로교회에대한 행정전권위원회헌의안

**메릴랜드 장로교회 행정위원회 구성을 위한 헌의안**  
**Motion to Compose Administrative Commission**  
**for Maryland Presbyterian Church**

**[Background]** Since last year, this presbytery has been plagued by the unjustified complaints filed by the session of Maryland Presbyterian Church (hereafter, MPC). Their complaints are continually hurting the life and ministry of the Atlantic Korean Presbytery (hereafter, AKAP)

[배경설명] 지난해 이래 본 노회는 메릴랜드장로교회 당회의 잇따른 고소로 인하여 수난을 받아왔습니다. 그와같은 고소들은 대서양 한미노회의 삶과 선교에 심각한 상처를 주고 있습니다.

Three separate but related complaints were filed, first by its clerk (without the session's approval) and the subsequent complaints, filed by the session itself. The initial filing was not valid as the clerk was not a commissioner. The decision to file the subsequent complaints was not a unanimous decision, but based on a majority vote. The opposing minority was in favor of creating dialogue rather than filing a formal complaint. The opposing minority in the session has been cornered and pressured from every side as much as he has no place to stand in the life of congregation.

세개의 다르나 관계가 있는 고소들은 당회서기(당회의 결정없이)에 의해서 제소 되었고, 이어진 고소들은 당회자체가 했습니다. 최초의 고소는 당회서기가 노회 총대가 아니었기 때문에 효력이 없는 것이었습니다. 부차적인 고소들도 만장일치의 결의가 아니라 다수결에 에의해서였습니다. 반대하는 소수는 형식적인 고소를 제기하기 보다는 대화를 이끌어 내는데 호의적이었습니다. 당회에서 반대했던 소수는 모든 면에서 몰리고 압박을 받아왔다고 전합니다. .

Meanwhile almost 1/3 of the congregational members have filed a petition in an appeal to remove their pastor from further leading the congregation due to various reasons.

반면에 약 교인들의 1/3 에 해당하는 사람들이 담임 목사를 해임 시켜 달라는 청원서를 제출하였습니다.

**The Board of Trustee and Administrative Commission, through their sub-committees, made multiple visits to the church in an attempt to offer both parties a fair opportunity to be heard and to facilitate the conversation to resolve their dispute. Any effort to come to an amicable solution through dialogue and to fulfil their given mission was stymied due to a lack of cooperation from the complainant. Under this pastor's leadership, the session has been very uncooperative, unresponsive to and often creating various unreasonable excuses as to why they will not accept visit of presbytery delegates.**

이사회와 행정위원회는 소위원회를 통하여 여러번 그 교회를 방문했습니다. 양자간에 공평한 기회를 부여하고 듣고 그들의 쟁점을 해결하기위한 대화를 조성하기 위한 것이었습니다. 대화를 통해 우호적인 해결에 이르도록 하기 위한 노력과 주어진 사명을 완수하기 위한 노력은 고소자들의 협조심의 부족 때문에 장애가 되고 있습니다. 이 목사의 지도하에 당회는 아주 비협조적이었고 무책임적으로 대해왔으며 법번히 노회 대표들의 방문을 왜 받아들이지 못하는가에 관하여 여러가지 합당치 못한 핑계만 내놨습니다.

**At the General Council Meeting on July 13, 2017, the Board of Trustee and AC elaborated on the fact that the MCP session has been turning a deaf ear to any kind of efforts to aid their dispute by the Presbytery. The General Council discussed, in depth, the best potential course of action moving forward while maintaining the peace and unity of the church and the Presbytery.**

2017년 7월 13일 제 56차 정기노회를 준비하기 위하여 모인 운영위원회에서 이사회와 행정위원회는 그 사실에 대하여 메릴랜드 장로교회 당회는 노회가 그들의 쟁점을 도와주기위한 어떠한 노력에도 귀를 막아 왔다는 사실에 대하여 자세한 보고를 했습니다. 운영위원회는 교회와 노회의 평화와 일치를 유지하면서 앞으로 나아가는 가장 가능한 조치의 과정을 깊이 숙고하였습니다.

**The conclusion that was reached is to recommend that the jurisdiction over the MPC session be assumed because the options were too limited and new AC then be formed to function as the replacement for the MPC session.**

도출된 결론은 그들의 관할권이 회수 되어야 하고 새로운 행정위원회가 그것을 인수하여 메릴랜드 장로교회 교인들과 사역을 함께 해야 한다는 것입니다

**The current MPC session has attempted to suppress and oust the minority member in the name of discipline, which has influenced and fostered a divisive nature within the congregation at large. Allowing the current MPC session to continue in this**

**fashion leaves much to be desired for the future spiritual health and wellness of the church and its congregation.**

현재의 메릴랜드 장로교회 당회는 징계의 이름으로 소수를 억압하고 축출하려는 시도를 해 왔습니다. 그것은 대체로 교회 안에 영양을 주고 분과성을 길러내고 있는 것입니다. 현재의 당회가 이런 식으로 계속 되는 것을 놔둔다면, 미래의 영적인 건강과 복지를 위한 많은 열망을 잃고 말 것입니다.

**Simultaneously it is recommended that all previous commissions involved be dismissed, in whatever form they may be comprised, and also the AC constituted at 55-1<sup>st</sup> Called Presbytery Meeting be dismissed. This is due to MPC having raised a legal problem in spite of having no actual legal problems. Dismissing all previously formed ACs that have dealt with this particular issue will nullify the filed complaints against said ACs.**

동시에 관련이 있는 이제까지의 모든 위원회는 그 어떤 형태로 형성 되었든 간에 해산한 것을 재 확인합니다. 그리고 또한 55-1차 임시노회에서 구성한 행정위원회도 해산 할 것을 제청합니다. 이는 메릴랜드장로교회가 실제적인 법적인 문제가 없음에도 불구하고 계속 법적인 문제를 야기하기 때문입니다. 이 특정한 문제를 다루었던 이전에 형성된 행정위원회들을 해산하면 이전의 55-1차 노회가 절성한 행정위원회를 걸어 제소한 모든 고소를 무효화 시키게 될 것입니다.

#### [Rationale]

- 1. Multiple visits the Presbytery in an attempt to create dialogue to resolve the pending issues between MPC and the presbytery have been frustrated by MPCs repeated unwillingness to cooperate.**

메릴랜드장로교회와 대서양한미노회 간의 현안 문제를 해결하기 위한 대화를 시도하기 위한 여러번의 방문은 메릴랜드장로교회의 협조와 대화를 시도했으나 메릴랜드 장로교회의 되풀이 되는 협조의 적대성 때문에 좌절되어 왔습니다. .

- 2. On the same day, a sub-committee of the board of trustees also visited the church, but were not given the opportunity to speak with the congregation about the matter at hand. Rather they requested an official letter listed with the items of discussion, and that they will inform the presbytery of their decision after review of the official letter. The presbytery delegates were met with animosity and were prevented from announcing the reason for the visit to the congregation. Such a behavior has been frequently observed over the past year.**

같은날 이사회의 소위원회도 어떤 실마리를 찾기 위하여 교회를 방문했습니다. 그러나 손쉬운 사안에 대해서도 교회에 말할 기회줄 주지 않았습니다. 그보다는 그들은 토론의 아이템들을 나열한 공문을 요구했습니다. 그리고 그 공문을 검토한 후에 자기들의 결정을 노회에 알려주겠다고 합니다. 노회 대표들은 적대감을 겪었고 교회를 방문하는 이유에 대하여 광고조차도 막았습니다. 이와 같은 행위는 지난해부터 자주 관찰 되어오고 있는 것들입니다.

3. Furthermore, it is recognized that the establishing members (over 30 people) have a strong desire to retake the sanctuary. Without the intervention of the presbytery, there is great potential for more disputes that have escalated to physical skirmishes in the past. The presbytery should take appropriate preventive actions through a third party intervention.

한걸을 더 나아가며, 현재 출석교인들보다 더 많은 30 여명의 옛날 교인들이 예배에 출석하기 시작했고 성전을 다시 차지하려는 욕구를 가지고 있음이 인지 된바 있고, 노회의 개입이 없이는 과거에 있었던 물리적 충돌이 점증하는 더많은 쟁점들에 대한 잠재성이 크다 하겠습니까. 노회는 제 3 자 개입을 통해서 좀더 적절한 예방조치를 해야만 합니다.

4. Also the session issued a threatening notice on its church bulletin which goes like this: “Our session has a responsibility for peace and safety, if you make any trouble to give harm, we will issue ‘Peace Order’ from District Court to maintain peace. CCTVs are running to tape what you are doing now. If it is spotted, there are anyone among you who is yelling or swearing each other, please, record it by your cell phone, and submit it to the district court. Verbal insults will also be considered as violating the peace.” The congregation has been fearful of the influence of the MPC session and this warning is confirmation that any dissention against the session will result in consequences. This also approves what has happened in their midst.

당회는 위협하는 경고문을 자기들 교회 주보에 이렇게 실고 있습니다. “ 본교회 당회는 교회의 평화와 안전을 보장해야 할 책임이 있으므로 만약 평화를 해치는 어떤 행위가 있을 때에는 즉각 법원에 피스오더를 신청하여 평안을 유지하도록 할 것입니다. 교회에는 CCTV 가 작동하여 녹화를 하고 있으며 혹시 교인들 사이에 소리를 지르거나 욕설을 하는 사람을 볼 경우에는 즉시 핸드폰으로 녹음을 하여 법원에 제출하시기바랍니다. 욕설도 언어를 통한 폭행입니다.” 회중들은 공포와 같은 것을 느끼고 있으며 이 경고는 당회에 어떠한 반대로

그러한 결과가 도래할 것을 확인하고 있습니다. 이는 이교회에서 어떤 일들이 벌어져왔는가를 입증하고 있습니다.

- 5. Meanwhile 1/3 of the active members made a petition/complaint to request the dissolution of pastoral relationship with Rev. John H. An. They list around 9 complaints and request an AC intervention for resolution. Blaming Rev. An they are saying “our pastor has sought it with bloodshot eyes to sue his presbytery and not to do his ministry what he should do, so take him back to you where he is affiliated with.” Among the petitioners are active and past session members with elder and deacons/nesses.**

반면에 활동교인 1/3이 노회에 안현준 목사와의 목회관계 해소를 요구하는 청원서/고발서/를 제출하였습니다. 9 가지 내용의 부당성을 제시하고 있으며 안목사를 비난하기를 “우리 목사님은 자기 노회를 고소하는데 혈안이 되어 왔으며 해야할 목회는 안하고 있습니다. 그가 속해 있는 노회로 데려가 주십시오.” 행정위원회의 개입을 청원하고 있는바 현직 당회원과 장로 집사들이 포함 되어 있습니다..

- 6. An active session member who has been cooperating with the presbytery has been summoned to appear before Investigative Committee of MPC over 6 times with no valid reasons given, and he has been continually harassed by his pastor. More detailed contents are included in the petition.**

노회에 협조하는 현직 당회원에게 별 근거도 없이 6 번이상 다른 이유로 소환장을 발부하고 교회의 조사위원회앞에 출두할 것을 압박하여 담임목사로부터 계속 괴롭힘을 당하고 있습니다. . 좀더 자세한 내용들은 첨부된 청원서에 포함 되어 있습니다.

### [Recommendation]

**Hereby, we, the General Council, recommend that a new AC be composed, and the full power of session be assumed by the AC so that it can work together with the congregation of MPC as the session, and the mission and ministry get done in order to restore peace and unity in the church, and the consequences be reported to the presbytery after fulfilling designated mission as follows;**

이에 운영 위원회는 당회의 권한은 회수 되어야 하며 행정위원회가 메리랜드장로교회의 당회로서 선교와 목회사역이 될 수 있도록 평화와 일치가 회복되어 선교와 목회 사역이 이루어지도록,

그리고 아래의 임무들이 수행된 후에 노회에 그 결과가 보고되도록 행정위원회를 구성할 것을 제청합니다.

- (1) To settle the following inappropriate actions that have occurred since the merger of two churches:
  - a. To refer it to PJC after review that two elders were dismantled their ordinations and deacons/nesses were charged.
  - b. To investigate the process of “Peace Order” from the District Court by false witness.
  - c. To check any mishandling of finances during the transition period of the merger.
- (2) To assess any financial irregularities/errors in the last two years.
- (3) To examine procedural violations or irregularities in the election of elders.
- (4) To settle the petition to dissolve the pastoral relationship with Rev. An.
- (5) To take further action, if necessary, by discernment.

- (1) 교회 통합시부터 당시 행정위원회 겸 상임사법위원회가 처리하지 못하거나 문제가 되고 있는 사항
  - a. 장로 파직에 대한 사항을 검토하여 사법위원회에 조회하여 처리케 하는 일
  - b. 거짓 증언을 토대로 법원에 교인 접근 금지 신청에 과정 검토
  - c. 통합의 과도기 동안에 부적절한 재정처리가 있었는지에 대해 검토
- (2) 장로공천 및 선출 방식등에 헌법에 위배 되는 사항에 대한 검토
- (3) 1/3의 교회 리더십들 (당회원과 장로 및 집사 권사들)이 안현준 목사와의 목회관계 해소 청원에 대하여 조사하고 해결 하는 일
- (4) 필요할 경우 분별하여 필요한 일이면 그에 대한 조치를 취하는 일.

[구성위원] 윤치현, 박관준, 강기석, 전진은, 김춘호, 최창규, 김영미, Ex Officio ; 조남홍

[ACTION] 행정전권 위원회 동의안을 18대 5로 통과 하다.

이하는 증명 서류들



**미국장로교 대서양 한미노회**  
**The ATLANTIC KOREAN AMERICAN PRESBYTERY (USA)**  
 3211 Paul Dr. Wheaton, MD 20902  
 (301)864-9255, (240) 447-1664

수신 : 메릴랜드장로교회 당회 (Session of Maryland PC)  
 경유 : 윤재현 장로(Elder Jae Hyun Yoon)  
 참조: 안현준 목사(Rev. John An)  
 발신 : 대서양한미노회 행정위원회 (AKAP AC)  
 제목 : 행정위원회 방문건 (Visitation)

2017년 5월 26일에 모인 미국장로교 대서양 한미노회 행정위원회에서 귀 교회에 불지하여 2017년 6월 11일 교회를 방문하여 현안 문제를 협의하고자 방문하였으나 방문을 연기요청하였다는 이유로 공식적인 방문을 거부하였고, (G-3.0202, c.d) 현안 문제인 55-1 차 노회가 위임한 사항에 대하여 아무런 활동을 할 수 없었습니다.

We tried to visit your church for consulting with pending issues as Administrative Commission on July 11, 2017 as notified you in advance you refused and opportunity even was not given, making excuse that you requested to postpone it but we have not received your reply. So we could not start our work together.

이에 본 행정위원회는 귀 교회 당회에 다시 요청합니다. 2017년 7월 (주일)이나 7월 16일 (주일) 양일 중 교회 형편과 부합 되는 날에 55-1 차 임시노회가 부여한 사항들에 대하여 협의를 시작하고자 하오니 협력해 주시기바랍니다. (첨부: 55-1 메릴랜드장로교회를 위한 행정위원회)

This is why we are asking a favor of you. Either July 7, (Sun) or July 16, 2017, it is hopeful to choose the date as you are pleased in your satisfaction. Your cooperation is highly desired so that we can start together our work given by the presbytery at 55-1 Called Presbytery Meeting. (Attachment is a portion of 55-1<sup>st</sup> Minutes)

만일 이 둘중 하나도 할 수 없다면 7월 18일 노회 이전의 어느날이라도 정해서 알려 주시기바랍니다. 본 행정위원회는 2017년 7월 5일(수)까지 회신을 기다리고, 아무 연락이 없을 경우 또 다시 상회의 방문을 거부하는 것과 동시에 당회가 지체롭게 운영되지 않는 것으로 간주하고 적절한 대책이 요구 될 것입니다.

Even If you can choose any day out of two, let us know what day you are available before the 56<sup>th</sup> Stated Presbytery Meeting. We wish your answer is informed us by July 5<sup>th</sup>, 2017. No response will be regarded as rejection and it may grow to an appropriate step to be taken. .

행정위원장 : 윤재현 목사  
 Chair, Administrative Commission

[www.akap.org](http://www.akap.org)  
[akap1997@hotmail.com](mailto:akap1997@hotmail.com)





**미국장로교 대서양 한미노회**  
**The ATLANTIC KOREAN AMERICAN PRESBYTERY (USA)**  
 3211 Paul Dr. Wheaton, MD 20902  
 (301)864-9255, (240) 447-1664

수신 : 메릴랜드장로교회 당회  
 경유 : 당회서기  
 참조 : 안현준 목사  
 발신 : 대서양한미노회, 이사회  
 제목 : 교회방문 및 면담에 관한 건

2017년 5월 26일에 모인 이사회에서 결의한 대로 2017년 6월 11일 주일에 귀 교회를 방문하여 현안 문제에 대하여 당회와 면담을 갖고자 하오니 협조해 주시기바랍니다. 만일 여의치 않으면 사전에 본 이사회와 만날 수도 있사오니 그렇게 될 경우 연락을 주시기바랍니다. 상회와의 협력을 거부하면 장로교 헌법을 어기는 결과가 되오니 유념하시기를 부탁드립니다.

이사장 : 박 관준 목사  
 서기 : 김 영미 장로

위임을 받아

조 남홍  
 대서양 한미노회 서기/재단이사의 서기

## 목회관계해소 청원

수신 : 대서양한미노회

참조 : 노회총무, 서기

발신 : 메릴랜드장로교회

제목 : 안현준목사 목회관계해소 청원

하나님의 은혜가 노회에 충만하기를 기원합니다. 저희들은 메릴랜드장로교회가 통합되기 이전 하나교회 직분자들로서 수년도안 평화와 기쁨으로 교회를 섬겨왔습니다. 그러나 하나교회와 예담교회가 문제를 안고 통합되면서 지난 2,3년간 수많은 어려움 가운데서 예배드리면서 많은 문제가 교회 안밖으로 일어나고 있어 경악을 금할 수가 없습니다.

노회 행정위원회가 속히 교회를 방문하여 저희들의 사정을 청문해 주시고 잘못된 점과 어려움을 가려 주시기바라며 그 결과에 따라 현재의 안현준 담임목사가 시무하는 한 교회 평화는 요원하므로 목회관계도 해소해 주실 것을 청원합니다.

매주일 교회에서는 거짓과유언비어들이 날조 되고 있고 두려워서 교회에 오기조차 어려운 상황에 이르렀습니다. 현재 당회와 담임목사의 오류는 다음과 같습니다.











1. 안현준 목사와 당회는 노회나 개인을 고소하거나 징계하는데 혈안이 되고 있습니다.
  - (1)첫째로 당회의 결의 없이 노회를 대회에 고소했습니다. 이는 엄연한 장로교 헌법위반입니다.
  - (2)두번째 고소도 당회원의 반대에도 불구하고 다수결로 밀어부쳐 노회를 또 고소했습니다.
  - (3)이는 교인들로 하여금 편가르기를 하도록 하여 교인간에 다름으로 번지고있으며
  - (4)교인들 전체의 의사가 아닙니다. 우리는 상회를 고소하는 것을 반대합니다.

2. 징계위원회를 구성하여 시무장로를 정당한 이유없이 징계 하려고 교인들을 이간시키고 있습니다. 그 예로,
  - (1)첫째 마종욱 장로에게 6 차례에 걸쳐 조사위원회의 이름으로 소집 통지서를 등리로 부쳐 괴롭히고 있습니다.
  - (2)둘째 고소나 고발자는 일부 장로들 부인들로 구성하여 장로간에 불화를 조장하고 있습니다.
  - (3)셋째 조사위원회 구성도 담임목사 측근으로 구성하여 공정성을 잃고 있습니다.
  - (4)넷째 조사위원회의 조사내용이나 고발 내용은 징계할 만한 사항들이 아님에도 불구하고 시무장로를 내어 쫓으려는 시도에서 행하고 있습니다.
3. 예배 순서에 시무장로들이 교대로 기도했으나 목사 측근들만 순서에 넣고 다른 장로는 배제시키는 등 불공평한 처사를 행하고 있습니다.
4. 교회 평화위원회라는 어용 조직을 만들어 그들의 이름으로 장로를 고소하고 징계하려고 시도하는데 교회 평화 위원회는 장로부인 2명과 권사 1명으로 구성하는등 불공정하고 법적으로도 어긋나는 일을 하고 있습니다.
5. 교인수도 얼마 안 되는데 또 다시 장로들 세위 자기편을 만들려고 하고 있습니다.
6. 2016년 11월 당회에 아직 안수도 받지 않은 피택 장로를 회의에 출석시켜 발언권과 의결권을 주었고 부서 임명을 한바 있어 장로교 헌법을 어겼습니다.
7. 시무장로가 노회의 호출에 증인으로 나갔다고 공동회의시에 교인들로 하여금 “어떻게 할까요?”하고 물어 “징계유도”를 한바 있습니다. 장로 서약을 준수한 사람에게 칭찬은 커녕 징계라니요.
8. 모 장로 선출 시에 공천위원회를 임의로 교체했고 항의에 복원시켰고 인사 사항은 투표해야 함에도 불구하고 박수로 통과시킨바 있습니다.
9. 그 외에도 교회 통합시에 노회 소식을 제대로 전달하지 않고 담임목사가 유리한 사항만 전달하여 교회를 어지럽게 한 일등은 청문회가 열리면 자세히 진술할 수 있습니다..

목사는 노회 소속이며 교회 소속이 아님이 헌법에 명시 되어 있습니다. 이렇게 헌법을 어기며 노회를 고소하고 교인간에 불신을 조장하며, 안수 받은 장로를 축출하려는 등, 민주적절차는 물론 장로교 헌법을 무시하는 목회자는 당연히 위임서약을 위반하고 있으므로 행정위원회가 하든지 교회가 공동의회를 통해서 하든지 담임목사 목회관계 해소를 청원하오니 해당해 주시고 교회가 진정한 통합을 이루어 은혜와 평강속에 신앙생활 할 수 있도록 조치해 주시기를 청원합니다.

2017년 3월 13일

청원자

- 김정희(권사)  301-292-7828
- 강행자(권사)  30434-7770
- 손상숙(집사)  443-53-4510
- 이재철(장로)  301-292-7828
- 이기연(집사)  301-467-7004
- 정상순(권사)  240-383-0662
- 마종욱(장로)  443-53-6495
- 장영애(집사)  301-906-1050
-  410-280-3980
-  410-280-3980



From: Session Clerk of Maryland Presbyterian Church

To: Stated Clerk of the Synod of Mid-Atlantic

Date: July 2, 2017

Dear Rev. Warren Lesane,

Maryland Presbyterian Church (hereinafter MPC) is seeking to modify the complaint filed on March 13, 2017 in order to amend an additional relief to be granted.

MPC session solemnly request SPJC to add a relief that is an investigation of Rev. Nam Cho's( Executive Presbyter and Stated Clerk of AKAP) misconducts to prevent and correct offences contrary to the Book of Order committed by him.

Rev. Nam Cho. Has responsibilities to be as a dependable special resource person in the proceedings and in the interpretation of Book of Order. However, he is being accused of not only violating the Book of Order and the Bible but also of ethically failing as a minister.

In general, an Executive Presbyter has been required to present, encourage and strengthen the peace and unity of the church as well as its people and its work. He is not properly performing his duty and the responsibility specified clearly in the manual of the presbytery regarding his duty overseeing the ministries of the member churches. He is required by the Book of Order to maintain a neutral position in regard to the church conflicting matters.

However, he has been making false and disingenuous statements with his intention to mislead the Presbytery members.

The E.P. Has been manipulating the church conflicts with false pretenses which make the presbytery to breach its member's commitment to the Synod.

Although AKAP's By Law has limited his position as an Executive Presbyter and Stated Clerk, " a temporary volunteer as an Interim E.P. ", he appears wielding his positional power, ignoring his duty and responsibilities beyond his job description specified in the AKAP's By Law.

Here we accuse his misconducts that can be summarized as below:

1. He has violated the Book of Order G-3.0303e by forming an AC twice without due processes.
2. He has been clearly disrespecting the SPJC's authority. Therefore, intentionally and knowingly he has not followed the SPJC's "Decision and Order" claiming that AKAP is free from the interpretation of SPJC's "Decision and Order".

On April of 2017 and June of 2017, he has visited twice MPC session and harassed session members that the new AC can dissolve the MPC Session.

He has been maintaining such a peremptory manner of disregarding the SPJC's authority

3. He is misinterpreting the Book of Order G-8.0201 with the right of the church property.

a. He has said MPC does not have any rights of property owner but only AKAP has all the rights of MPC property owner.

b. He has told a lie that he has requested the National Capital Presbytery (NCP) many times to transfer the Deed to the MPC but NCP has denied the above mentioned Rev. Nam Cho's statement. Rev. Wilson Gunn, General Presbyter of NCP has replied to MPC and AKAP that NCP has never received any request from AKAP.

Rev. Nam Cho has been falsely accusing MPC session on the Feb 20 2017 in the Presbytery meeting to persuade the members to pass the motion to form a new AC. AKAP meeting has passed the motion solely based on a false witness Mr. Jong Ma.

4. Rev. Nam Cho has been arbitrarily dictating his positional power

a. A fact to the matter is that there had been an illegitimate agreement made in 2012 between the Southern members of AKAP and the Northern members of AKAP. We have a document for evidence. The agreement allowed separate two different operating entities within AKAP's governing structure.

Rev. Nam Cho's position as a Stated Clerk has been able to strengthen his power by the Southern Presbyterian members who have been blindly following Rev. Nam Cho's direction because they had been allowed to separately operate their own presbytery governance under the one AKAP's umbrella.

Rev. Nam Cho's positional power has been secured by the Southern Presbytery member's voting power which has been always sided to Rev. Nam Cho. This is why Rev. Nam Cho has been able to control Southern member's voting power at the Presbytery meeting. Having given the afore mentioned benefit for the Southern Presbytery as a semi-autonomous entity, Rev. Nam Cho has been able to abuse his positional power as an Executive Presbyter and Stated Clerk.

MPC session has noticed that the new AC has been formed solely based on the false witness Mr. Jong Ma who was investigated by the Investigation Committee of MPC.

b. Here is a list of Rev. Nam Cho's arbitrary behaviors and actions

1) On Feb 2015, He had dismissed the Chair of Nominating Committee Elder, Mr. Songsak Lee and appointed a new chair with a non-commissioner status who is not qualified to be a

chair. Since Rev. Nam Cho had dismissed the previous chair for the reason that Mr. Lee had failed to be reelected as a session member from his congregational meeting.

2) Furthermore, he abruptly had dismissed the whole 13 PJC members without any prior notice and appointed 6 new PJC members on the Feb 2015 at the same presbytery meeting without any due process.

3) He had lied about the reason of calling Special Presbytery Meeting on Feb. 2015. When the meeting was opened, one of members asked the reason of the special meeting, he answered that it was ordered by the Synod of Mid-Atlantic. However, it turned out to be a lie that Synod had not ordered opening the Special Presbytery Meeting but suggested only to visit the meeting if it should open.

4) He had lied also about the interpretation of the Book of order. When the motion was made to call Rev. Nam Cho as an interim E.P., one of members has asked about the interpretation of Book of Order G-2.0905. Rev. Nam Cho surprisingly answered that he had an authoritative interpretation from the Stated Clerk of the Synod that he can be called as an interim EP for the same position at the same day of announcing his retirement without any due process or without any contract.

5) He has been performing all the Treasurer's work of the AKAP as a Stated Clerk and E.P. This is clearly prohibited by the Book of Order and the AKAP's by law Section4-6.

He also has been making all the decision of expenditure, budget, and book keeping according to his mandatory intention without passing annual budget and without a permission from the Finance Committee of AKAP.

He has been claiming that he has got approved by the AKAP to perform above mentioned activities. However, he has failed to prove it with an official mandate from the AKAP.

6) On June 2016, Rev. Nam Cho had tried to manipulate COM by threatening to file disciplinary charge against the chair of COM and the Moderator of the AKAP.

7) Rev. Nam Cho also has been controlling all the works of the Board of Trustees by himself even when filing a motion to form an AC for MPC in 2016.

8) He has been filling the General Council members by appointing chairs of each committee and at large members without convening with Nominating Committee by his intention of controlling the General Council. The AKAP's By Law Section13-2 states that chair of each committee should be elected by the members from the regular committee meeting.

9) Rev. Nam Cho has been arbitrarily manipulating all the functions of the AKAP for his own benefit.

Especially, he has made a motion to form an AC twice with the intention to replace the pastor and the session of the MPC without due process. The SPJC's Decision on Jan 10, 2017 has clearly stated that AKAP's motion has been violated the Book of Order.



It seems that he regards AKAP as his own fiefdom under which he is wielding his positional power.

Therefore, MPC session solemnly requests SPJC to amend our complaint with adding one more relief, so that the misconduct and disciplinary misbehavior of AKAP's Executive Presbyter, Rev Nam Cho, will be investigated with respect to his intention of "keeping forming ACs" to harass AKAP's member churches.

Clerk of the Session    Jae Hun Yoon